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Objectives - Update

- Task Group Background and Mission
- Progress to date
- Current activities
  - TG recommended TS3a motions
- Future
Task Group Background

- Formed Summer 2003
  - AASHTO SOM Technical Section 3A
  - ASTM Committee C01
  - 20+ regular participants / Experts

- Goal:
  To consider existing significant differences between ASTM C 150 and AASHTO M 85 and to develop recommendations for having equivalent requirements in these two cement standards.
Progress to date

- Max fineness limit
  - M-85 limit increased, C-150 limit established

- Max $C_3S$ for Type II in M-85 replaced with Heat of Hydration related limits
  - Both standards now limit HOH

- Limestone use (5% max) est. in M-85

- $SiO_2$ limit *
Current Activities

- Definition of “sample” in relation to fineness
- Considering appropriateness of alternate Type II designations for Sulfate and HOH
  - To address situations when only one of the two performance criteria are needed
- Table 1, Footnote D revision
- Processing Additions
TS Actions

- **Outstanding business**
  - SiO\(_2\) ballot item – NM negative
    - Consider NM discussion
    - Unless arguments deemed persuasive, TG recommends eliminating SiO\(_2\) requirement

- **New business**
  - Revise fineness definition, est. Type II (MH)
    - Item passed TS ballot (admin negative only)
    - TG recommends forwarding to Full SOM ballot
New business (con’t)
- Revise Footnote D, Table 1
  - TG recommends forwarding to Full SOM ballot to adopt new language
- Processing Additions
  - AASHTO sponsored Research via NCHRP
  - NCHRP Report 607 issued / reviewed
  - TG recommends forwarding as a concurrent TS and Full SOM ballot to adopt all the recommendations made in NCHRP Report 607
Processing Additions

- ASTM C150:
  - ASTM C465

- AASHTO M 85
  - ASTM C465
  - 1% max by weight of cement

NCHRP Report 607
Conclusions and Recommendations

- “Inorganic processing additions do not have a large influence on performance of cementitious systems”
- Some SCMs limited by current requirements (LOI, IR, etc.)
- <1%, no additional testing required
- 1 to 5% permitted with additional testing
- Additional testing via modified C465 or new specification
- Bogue phase calculations to be corrected
JAAHTG Approach: C465 proposal

- Work w/ ASTM to revise C465
  - Differentiate organic and inorganic
    - Scope
    - Section 3
  - Sections 4, 7 and 13: Add requirements for reporting chemical analysis
  - Add Section 14.2, for manufacturer’s certification for inorganic

- Develop AASHTO spec per 607 report
  - Mirror modified ASTM C465 (“B” standard)
JAAHTG Approach: M-85 proposal

- Organic processing additions
  - Current C465 testing

- Inorganic processing additions
  - 5% max limit on inorganic
  - Modified C465 testing / AASHTO “B” spec
  - Modification of phase calculations (Bogue adjustments)
Summary

- JAAHTG progressing…

- 2008 ballot proposals / motions
  - NM negative (non-persuasive for SiO$_2$?)
  - Revise fineness definition, est. Type II (MH)
  - Revise Footnote D, Table 1
  - Processing Additions
    - M85 inclusion
    - ASTM modified C465 / AASHTO “B” standard
Questions